STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

CONTACT PERSON

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008) DEPARTMENT NAME

See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

TELEPHONE NUMBER

California State Teachers' Retirement System	Jennifer L. Plescia	(916) 414 -1724		
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Internal Appeal	,	NOTICE FILE NUMBER Z		
ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT				
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.)				
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whet	her this regulation:			
a. Impacts businesses and/or employees		e. Imposes reporting requirements		
b. Impacts small businesses		f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance		
c. Impacts jobs or occupations		g. Impacts individuals		
d. Impacts California competitiveness		h. None of the above (Explain below. Complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.)		
h. (cont.)				
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, or	complete this Economic Impact Stat	tement.)		
Enter the total number of businesses impacted:	Describe the types of	of businesses (Include nonprofits.):		
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses in	npacted that are small businesses:			
3. Enter the number of businesses that will be created:	elim	ninated:		
Explain:				
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: Statewide Local or regional (List areas.):				
·				
5. Enter the number of jobs created: or eliminated: Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted:				
		<u> </u>		
Will the regulation affect the ability of California busing	esses to compete with other states	by making it more costly to produce goods or services here?		
Yes No If yes, explain	briefly:			
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and assum	ptions in the rulemaking record.)			
		nply with this regulation over its lifetime?\$		
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$. Annual ongoing cost			
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	Annual ongoing cost	Years:		
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	Annual ongoing cost	ts: \$ Years:		
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:				

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

		typical business may incur to comply with these require	
costs to do programming, record ke	eeping, reporting, and other paperwork	, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): \$ _	
1. Will this regulation directly impact h	nousing costs? Yes	No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housin	g unit:and t
number of units:			
5. Are there comparable Federal regul	ations? Yes No	Explain the need for State regulation given the existence	or absence of Federal
,			or abborioo or r oderar
regulations:	***************************************		
Enter any additional costs to busine	esses and/or individuals that may be d	ue to State - Federal differences: \$	
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimatio	n of the dollar value of benefits is not	pecifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)	
· ····	MARINE COLOR		
. Briefly summarize the benefits that r	may result from this regulation and wh	will benefit:	
***************************************	A second		
. Are the benefits the result of :	anacific atatutanu raquiramenta er	goals developed by the agency based on broad st	atutan en tharites
Are the benefits the result of .		goals developed by the agency based on broad st	atulory authority?
Explain:	***************************************		
-			
. What are the total statewide benefits	s from this regulation over its lifetime?	\$	value of honofits in an
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA	s from this regulation over its lifetime?		value of benefits is not
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' becifically required by rulemaking law,	s from this regulation over its lifetime? TION (Include calculations and assun but encouraged.)	sptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar	
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' becifically required by rulemaking law,	s from this regulation over its lifetime? TION (Include calculations and assun but encouraged.)	\$	
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' becifically required by rulemaking law,	s from this regulation over its lifetime? TION (Include calculations and assun but encouraged.)	sptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar	
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' secifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and des	s from this regulation over its lifetime? TION (Include calculations and assumbut encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternatives we	sptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar re considered, explain why not:	
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' secifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and des	s from this regulation over its lifetime? TION (Include calculations and assun but encouraged.)	sptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar re considered, explain why not:	
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' secifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and des	s from this regulation over its lifetime? TION (Include calculations and assumbut encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternatives we also the second of	ptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar re considered, explain why not: each alternative considered:	
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' secifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and desc	s from this regulation over its lifetime? TION (Include calculations and assumbut encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternatives we	sptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar re considered, explain why not:	
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' becifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and designates Summarize the total statewide costs Regulation:	s from this regulation over its lifetime? TION (Include calculations and assume but encouraged.) cribe them below. If no alternatives we and benefits from this regulation and Benefit: \$	ptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar re considered, explain why not: each alternative considered: Cost: \$	
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA's recifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and designations. Summarize the total statewide costs Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2:	and benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$	ptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar re considered, explain why not: each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$	
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' becifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and designate and designation and the statewide costs Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2:	and benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$	ptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar re considered, explain why not: each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$	
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' recifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and designate and designation and the statewide costs Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2:	and benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$	ptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar re considered, explain why not: each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$	
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' becifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and described and described are statewide costs Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: Briefly discuss any quantification issue	and benefits from this regulation and Benefit: \$	ptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar re considered, explain why not: each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ feetimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternative.	matives:
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA's secifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and descriptions. Summarize the total statewide costs Regulation: Alternative 1: Alternative 2: Briefly discuss any quantification issues. Rulemaking law requires agencies to	and benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Comparison of the performance standards as a st	ptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar re considered, explain why not: each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$ of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of speci	matives:
What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA's pecifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and described and described are considered and descri	and benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Comparison of the performance standards as a st	ptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar re considered, explain why not: each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$ Cost: \$ feetimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternative.	matives:
. What are the total statewide benefits ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULA' becifically required by rulemaking law, List alternatives considered and described and described are considered and desc	and benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Benefit: \$ Comparison of the performance standards as a st	ptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar re considered, explain why not: each alternative considered: Cost: \$ Cost: \$ of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of species standards considered to lower compliance costs?	matives:

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

1. Wil	the estimated costs	of this regulation to Cali	fornia business enterprises excee	d \$10 million ?	No (If No, skip the rest of th	is section.)
	•		ernative, or combination of alterna		ness analysis was performed:	
Re Alte	the regulation, and e gulation: ernative 1: ernative 2:	\$		st and overall cost-effectivenes Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$		
			FISCAL IMPACT	STATEMENT		
	CAL EFFECT ON LC		Indicate appropriate boxes1 throu	gh 6 and attach calculations an	d assumptions of fiscal impact for	or the current
1.			in the curre onstitution and Sections 17500 et			
	a. is provided	l in	, Budget Act of	or Chapter	, Statutes of	
	b. will be requ	uested in the(Governor	's Budget for appropriation in B	udget Act of	
		-	in the currer			rsuant to
	a. implements	s the Federal mandate (contained in			
	b. implements	the court mandate set t	forth by the			
	court in th	ne case of		vs		
	c. implements election;	a mandate of the peop	ole of this State expressed in their	approval of Proposition No		(DATE)
	d. is issued on	ly in response to a spec	cific request from the	4		
				, which	is/are the only local entity(s) affe	∋cted;
	e. will be fully	financed from the	(FEE	S, REVENUE, ETC.)	authorize	ed by Section
			of the			Code;
	f. provides for	r savings to each affecte	ed unit of local government which	will, at a minimum, offset any a	dditional costs to each such unit	t;
	g. creates, eli	minates, or changes the	penalty for a new crime or infract	ion contained in		
3.	Savings of approxim	nately \$	annually.			
\neg	Sta additional sasta .	ar acidare hancina thia			among to gureant law requisitions	

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

√ 5.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.					
6.	Other.					
	B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)					
_ 1	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is antic	cipated that State agencies will:				
	a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.					
	b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal year					
2.	Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
√ 3.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.					
4.	Other.					
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)						
1.	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
2.	Savings of of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
√ 3.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program	n.				
4.	Other.	F 4 1				
FISCAL	OFFICER SIGNATURE	DATE 4/30/11				
	CY SECRETARY 1 OVAL/CONCURRENCE Jack Three	DATE 6/30/11				
	RTMENT OF FINANCE OVAL/CONCURRENCE	DATE				

- The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the
 impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest
 ranking official in the organization.
- 2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.

Jennifer Plescia

From: Vellanoweth, Rose [Rose.Vellanoweth@dof.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, July 15, 2011 4:39 PM

To: Jennifer Plescia
Cc: Martone, Koreen

Subject: Std. Form 399 -CalSTRS Internal Appeal Regulations

Dear Jennifer,

It was good conversing with you today on this internal appeal regulation process. As observed on this particular Std. Form 399, the supporting documentation, and per our conversation, there appears to be no fiscal impact to the state. With that said, Finance does not need to sign. I do appreciate you running it by us for review. Sincerely,

Rose Vellanoweth

Rose Vellanoweth

Department of Finance (916) 445-3274 x3030 rose.vellanoweth@dof.ca.gov